## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

# **PCT**

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

	at's or agent's file reference 3142PCT	FOR FURTHE	R ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
Internation	onal application No.	International filing	date (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT	/EP2004/01414	13.12.20	004	12.12.2003						
Internati	onal Patent Classification (I	PC) or national classification a	nd IPC							
A61K9/70										
Applicar	nt									
LTS	LOHMANN THE	RAPIE-SYSTEME	AG							
1.	This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.									
2.	This REPORT consists of	a total of 6	sheets, including	ng this cover sheet.						
3.	This report is also accomp	anied by ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:							
	a. (sent to the app	olicant and to the International	Bureau) a total of 4	sheets, as follows:						
				amended and are the basis for this report and/or						
	sheets co Instruction	_	ed by this Authority (see R	ule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative						
	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental									
	Box.									
	b (sent to the Int	ernational Bureau only) a total	of (indicate type and numb	er of electronic carrier(s))						
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables									
	related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).									
4.	This report contains indica	ations relating to the following	items:							
	Box No. I	Basis of the report								
	Box No. II	Priority								
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion v	vith regard to novelty, inven	ntive step and industrial applicability						
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention								
	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement									
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited								
	Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application									
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application								
Date of submission of the demand  Date of completion of this report										
Jule of 8	on mission of the demand		Suc of completion of the	mo report						
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP			Authorized officer							
Faccimila No.			Talanhana Na							

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/014146

Box	No. I	I Basis of the report							
1.		ith regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international a dicated under this item.	application in the language in v	which it was filed, unless otherwise					
		This report is based on translations from the original language in which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (Rule 12.4)		·					
		international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 5	5.3)						
2.	rece	h regard to the <b>elements</b> of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the eiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:							
		pages <b>4-27</b>		as originally filed/furnished 14.09.2005 with letter					
		pages* 1-3 re	ceived by this Authority on _						
		pages* re	ceived by this Authority on						
	$\boxtimes$	the claims:							
		nos. <b>2–15</b>		as originally filed/furnished					
		nos.*	as amended (together	with any statement) under Article 19					
		nos.* <u>1</u> re	ceived by this Authority on _	14.09.2005 with letter of 12.09.2005					
		nos.* re	ceived by this Authority on _						
	$\boxtimes$	the drawings:							
		sheets 1/3-3/3		as originally filed/furnished					
		sheets* re	ceived by this Authority on						
		sheets* re	ceived by this Authority on _						
		a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supplementa	l Box Relating to Sequence Li	sting.					
3.		The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
		the description, pages							
		the claims, nos.	the claims, nos.						
		the sequence listing (specify):							
4.	$\boxtimes$	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendmenthey have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed,	nts annexed to this report and	listed below had not been made, since					
		the description, pages 1-3							
		the claims, nos 1							
		the drawings, sheets/figs							
		the sequence listing (specify):							
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
*	If ite	tem 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superse	ded."						

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014146

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; porting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-15	NO
	Industrial applicabi	ility (IA) Claims	1-15	YES
		Claims		NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
  - 1. See supplemental sheet: continuation of Box I.
  - In these proceedings, reference is made to documents cited in the international search report. The documents are numbered D1 to D5 according to the order in which they are cited in the search report. The cited passages of the respective documents, in particular, will be taken into account.
  - Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))
    The subject matter of claims 1 to 15 is considered to be novel over the cited prior art.
    Claim 1 differs from the disclosure in D1 to D3 by the addition of glycerol as a plasticizer.
  - 4. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

    The problem addressed by the application is that of providing a film-forming administration form for the topical administration of at least one active substance, which administration form is easier to use, in particular, with regard to improved application thereof.

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

This problem is solved by a film-forming administration form according to claim 1 comprising glycerol as a plasticizer. Film-forming administration forms comprising cross-linked hydrophilic polymers, in particular, HPMC crosslinked with tannin, are already known from the prior art (D1 to D3). D1 to D3 do not, however, disclose the addition of glycerol as a plasticizer.

However, a person skilled in the art is familiar with glycerol as an additive functioning as a plasticizer to polysaccharide films, in particular, HPMC films, from D4 and D5.

It seems likely that a person skilled in the art would have taken in particular D5 into account because this document deals in general with the mechanical and water vapor transmission properties of polysaccharide films.

D5 (page 1203, right-hand column, lines 43-46) describes that HPMC films are effectively plasticized with glycerol and that with 30% glycerol, the puncture strength remains unchanged. The addition of 30% glycerol to HPMC films is also verified at other places in the document (see, for example, table 2, figure 3)

It must therefore be assumed that a person skilled in the art is clearly prompted by D5 to use glycerol as a plasticizer and in amounts greater

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/014146

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement than 20%. An inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) therefore cannot be recognized for the subject matter of claims 1 to 15. 5. Clarity (PCT Article 6) It appears that claim 1 relates to 20% by weight of glycerol, and claim 2, which is dependent on claim 1, relates to 20 to 60% by weight of glycerol. The scope of protection of claim 2 is therefore broader than that of claim 1. It seems appropriate to reverse the order of the claims so that the narrower claim is dependent on the broader claim.

PCT/EP2004/014146

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. Continuation of:  $Box\ I$ 

The amendments submitted with the letter of 12 September 2005 cannot be considered obvious errors within the meaning of PCT Rule 91. It is not obvious or immediately discernible to a person skilled in the art that nothing else other than the proposed rectifications could have been intended. Another range, such as 20-70%, could also have been intended.

The proposed rectifications are therefore considered to be modifications within the meaning of PCT Article 34(2b) which go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed because there is no basis in the application documents for the modification to  $\geq 20\%$  by weight.

This report is therefore based on the originally submitted documents (claims and description).